

# Forum

## of Stakeholders of the Project

„Preparation of documentation for River Training Works on the Critical Sectors of the Danube River in Serbia“

<b>Date:</b>	14-12-2012
<b>Duration:</b>	10:00 - 15:00
<b>Venue:</b>	Directorate for Inland Waterways, Francuska 9, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
<b>Host:</b>	Directorate for Inland Waterways

## Evaluation

### of the work of the Forum by the Forum members & observers

Please answer the following question by marking one of the grades. Grades are in the range 1-5, where grade 5 is the highest, while grade 1 is the lowest.

**1. Please evaluate if the Forum is being organized and is performing in accordance to the General rules on organization and work of the Forum.**

1      2      3      4      5

**2. Please evaluate the performance of the chair person regarding provision of equal treatment of all members of the Forum.**

1      2      3      4      5

**3. Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meetings?**

1      2      3      4      5

**4. Please evaluate the level of active participation of the Forum members on Forum meetings.**

Passive      Moderate      Very active

**5. Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?**

Yes      No

**5.a. If no, please elaborate which field of interest is not properly represented in the Forum.**



<b>Analysis done by:</b>	Ivan Mitrovic (Directorate for Inland Waterways)
<b>Analysis performed on:</b>	20-12-2012
<b>Sent to Forum members on:</b>	24-12-2012

## Analysis of the results of the evaluation

Survey took place at the sixth meeting of the Stakeholders Forum, held on 14<sup>th</sup> of December 2012 at the premises of the Directorate for Inland Waterways. Five Forum members and four Forum observers participated at the survey (all members and observers that participated at the meeting participated at the survey as well).

**Q1: Please evaluate if the Forum is being organized and is performing in accordance to the General rules on organization and work of the Forum.**

Average grade: **4.78 (of the maximum 5)**

Seven participants of the survey evaluated organization and performance of the Forum to be in accordance to the General rules on organization and work of the Forum with the grade 5, while two participants evaluated it with 4, resulting in the average grade of 4.78.

**Q2: Please evaluate the performance of the chair person regarding provision of equal treatment of all members of the Forum.**

Average grade: **5 (of the maximum 5)**

All nine participants of the survey evaluated performance of the chair person with the highest grade (5), resulting in the average grade of 5.

**Q3: Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meetings?**

Average grade: **4.33 (of the maximum 5)**

Five participants of the survey evaluated the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meetings with the highest grade (5), two participants evaluated it with 4, and two participants evaluated it with 3, giving the average grade of 4.33.




**Q4: Please evaluate the level of active participation of the Forum members at Forum meetings.**

Six participants of the survey evaluated the level of active participation of the Forum members at the Forum meetings as very active, while three participants evaluated it as moderate.

**Q5: Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?**

All nine participants of the survey consider that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum.

In addition, it was recommended to include specific sturgeon expert at the future work of the Forum.



We thank to the Forum members and observers for taking part at this survey. We will do our best to keep the high level of performances in the following period.

